arXiv:1608.03924v2 [math.CA] 3 Sep 2016

LINEAR FINITE DIFFERENCE OPERATORS PRESERVING LAGUERRE-PÓLYA CLASS

OLGA KATKOVA, MIKHAIL TYAGLOV, AND ANNA VISHNYAKOVA

Abstract. We completely describe all finite difference operators of the form

$$\Delta_{M_1,M_2,h}(f)(z) = M_1(z)f(z+h) + M_2(z)f(z-h)$$

preserving the Laguerre-Pólya class of entire functions. Here M_1 and M_2 are some complex functions and h is a nonzero complex number.

1. Introduction

In the theory of distribution of zeros of polynomials and transcendental entire functions, one of important problems is to describe linear transformations preserving the class of real polynomials with real zeros. Hermite and later Laguerre were, probably, the first who started to study such a problem systematically. In 1914 Pólya and Schur [18] completely described the operators acting diagonally on the standard monomial basis 1, x, x^2, ... of $\mathbb{R}[x]$ and possessing the mentioned preservation property. Later the study of linear transformations sending real-rooted polynomials to real-rooted polynomials was continued by many authors including N. Obreschkov, S. Karlin, B. Levin, G. Csordas, T. Craven, K. de Boor, R. Varga, A. Iserles, S. Nørsett, E. Saff etc. Among recent authors it is worth to especially mention P. Brändén and J. Borcea [4] (see also [5, 6]) who completely characterised all linear operators preserving real-rootedness of real polynomials (and some other root location preservers). Recently P. Brändén, I. Krasikov and B. Shapiro [7] made an attempt to transfer the existing theory of real-rootedness preservers to the basis of Pochhammer symbols and to develop a finite difference analogue of the Pólya-Schur theory.

The present work relates to the work [7] and continues it in a very specific way. Our object of study is the central finite difference operator with non-constant coefficients

$$\Delta_{M_1,M_2,h}(f)(z) = M_1(z)f(z+h) + M_2(z)f(z-h). \tag{1.1}$$

Here M_1 and M_2 are certain functions and h is a non-zero *complex* number. In this work we study operators of the form (1.1) that preserve real-rootedness of polynomials. It is clear that the operator $\Delta_{M_1,M_2,h}(p)(z)$ sends an arbitrary polynomial to a polynomial if, and only if, M_1 and M_2 are polynomials. The operator (1.1) and its multiple superpositions were also studied in [7] in the case when M_1 and M_2 are polynomials and $h \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. The authors of [7] established that the operator

$$T(f)(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} q_j(x) f(x-j)$$

with $q_j \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$, preserves the class of real-rooted polynomials if and only if $q_j(x) \not\equiv 0$ for at most one j, and q_j has all real zeros for such an j. Consequently, the case of real step h cannot provide a non-trivial operators of the form (1.1) preserving real-rootedness of polynomials. Here we completely describe all such non-trivial operators establishing the following fact.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C15; 30D15; 30D35; 26C10; 16C10.

Key words and phrases. Laguerre-Pólya class; finite difference operators; Hermite-Biehler class; distribution of zeros.

Proposition 1.1. *Let M_1 and M_2 be polynomials not identically zero. Then for every polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ with only real zeros, the polynomial*

$$M_1(z)p(z+h) + M_2(z)p(z-h)$$

has only real zeros if, and only if, $\operatorname{Re} h = 0$, *and either* $\left|\dfrac{M_1(z)}{M_2(z)}\right| \equiv 1$, *or* $M_1(z) = e^{i\theta} \cdot \overline{M_2(\bar{z})}$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, *and all the zeros of the polynomial M_2 lie in the half-plane* $\operatorname{Im} h \cdot \operatorname{Im} z \geqslant 0$.

Recall that polynomials with all zeros in the closed upper (or lower) half-plane are called *quasi-Hermite-Biehler* polynomials in analogue with quasi-stable polynomials whose zeros lie on the closed left-half plane. One direction of Proposition 1.1 is easy. Indeed, the case $\operatorname{Re} h = 0$, $|M_1(z)/M_2(z)| \equiv 1$ was established in [20], but if $\operatorname{Re} h = 0$, $M_1(z) = e^{i\theta}\overline{M_2(\bar{z})}$, and all zeros of M_2 lie in the half-plane $\operatorname{Im} h \cdot \operatorname{Im} z \geqslant 0$, then

$$e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}}(M_1(z)p(z+h) + M_2(z)p(z-h)) = 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$$

whenever

$$e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}}M_2(z)p(z-h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(c_k + id_k)z^k.$$

Since $e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}}M_2(z)p(z-h)$ has all zeros in the upper half-plane ($\operatorname{Im} h > 0$) or in the lower half-plane ($\operatorname{Im} h < 0$), the polynomial $\sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ has only real zeros by Hermite-Biehler theorem [9, 2] (see also [13] or [14, Chapter VII]).

The proof of the converse statement is more difficult, but we prove it here as a particular case of a more general statement. In the present work, we extend Proposition 1.1 to entire functions. Note that some special transcendental functions appear to be solutions of certain finite difference operators of a form similar to (1.1). Riemann's functional equation for the Riemann ζ-function [19] is such an example. Some special functions can be results of the action of operators of the form (1.1). Thus, it is worth to extend the domain of the operator (1.1) from polynomials to entire functions. A natural extension of polynomials with real roots is the so-called Laguerre-Pólya class.

A real entire function f is said to be in the *Laguerre-Pólya class*, $f \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$, if

$$f(z) = cz^n e^{-az^2+bz}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1 - \frac{z}{x_k}\right)e^{\frac{z}{x_k}}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $c, b, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_k \neq 0$, $a \geqslant 0$, n is a nonnegative integer and $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^{-2} < \infty$. The product in the right-hand side of (1.2) can be finite or empty (in the latter case the product equals 1).

This class is essential in the theory of entire functions due to the fact that these and only these functions are the uniform limits, on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, of polynomials with only real zeros. For various characterizations of the Laguerre-Pólya class see e.g. [16, p. 100], [18] or [15, Kapitel II]. Thus, in this work we study operators of form (1.1) that preserve the Laguerre-Pólya class, that is, $\Delta_{M_1,M_2,h}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$.

G. Pólya seems to be the first who obtained some results in $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$-preserving properties of an operator of the form (1.1). In [17] he established that if $f \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$ then

$$f(x + ic) + f(x - ic) \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$$

for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$. In [20] it was observed that the same fact is valid for the transformation

$$f(x + ic) + \theta f(x - ic), \quad |\theta| = 1.$$

In this work, we completely describe all the operators of the form (1.1) that preserve the Laguerre-Pólya class. The main result of the work is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M_1 and M_2 be non-zero entire functions. Then operator* (1.1) *preserves the class $\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$ if, and only if,* $\operatorname{Re} h = 0$ *and the functions M_1 and M_2 satisfy the conditions:*

1. $M_1(z) = \overline{M_2(\bar{z})},\ z \in \mathbb{C}$.
2. *The function M_2 is of the form*

$$M_2(z) = Cz^n e^{-az^2+bz} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\alpha_k}\right) e^{\frac{z}{\alpha_k}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{x_k}\right) e^{\frac{z}{x_k}}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\operatorname{Im} \alpha_k > 0$, $x_k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(|\alpha_k|^{-2} + x_k^{-2}\right) < \infty$, $a \geqslant 0$, $C,\ b \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, *and*

$$\operatorname{Im} b \geqslant 0 \quad \textit{whenever} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\alpha_k|} < \infty, \tag{1.4}$$

or

$$\left(\operatorname{Im} b - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Im} \alpha_k}{|\alpha_k|^2}\right) \geqslant 0 \quad \textit{whenever} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\alpha_k|} = \infty. \tag{1.5}$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminary results such as necessary conditions for the operator (1.1) to preserve the class $\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$ and simplified version of Theorem 1.2. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we give some remarks an conclusions.

2. Preliminary results

In this section, we prove some auxiliary facts that we use for the proof of Theorem 1.2. At first, we establish some necessary conditions for the operator (1.1) to preserve the Laguerre-Pólya class.

Theorem 2.1. *Let M_1 and M_2 be two given functions, $M_1, M_2 : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, $M_1 \not\equiv 0, M_2 \not\equiv 0$, and h be a complex number, $h \neq 0$. Suppose that the linear operator $\Delta_{M_1,M_2,h}$ of the form* (1.1) *has the property $\Delta_{M_1,M_2,h}(\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$. Then M_1 and M_2 are entire functions and either $h \in \mathbb{R}$ or $ih \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Since for every $b \in \mathbb{R}$ the function e^{bz} belongs to the Laguerre-Pólya class, the function

$$T_{M_1,M_2,h}(e^{bz}) = M_1(z)e^{z+h} + M_2(z)e^{z-h}$$

belongs to the same class by assumption. Hence $M_1(z)+e^{-2bh}M_2(z)$ is an entire function in the class $\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$ for all real b. By assumption $h \neq 0$, so the functions M_1 and M_2 are entire.

Furthermore, the functions $f_1(x) = 1$ and $f_2(x) = x$ belong to the class $\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$, so

$$M_1(x) + M_2(x) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad x(M_1(x) + M_2(x)) + h(M_1(x) - M_2(x)) \in \mathbb{R}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, $h(M_1(x) - M_2(x)) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Additionally, note that $f_3(x) = x^2 \in \mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$ so

$$x^2(M_1(x) + M_2(x)) + 2xh(M_1(x) - M_2(x)) + h^2(M_1(x) + M_2(x)) \in \mathbb{R}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, whence $h^2(M_1(x) + M_2(x)) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $h^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ whenever $M_1(x) + M_2(x) \not\equiv 0$.

However, if $M_1(x)+M_2(x) \equiv 0$, then applying the operator $T_{M_1,M_2,h}$ to the function $f_4(x) = x^3 \in \mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$, we obtain

$$M_1(x)\left((x+h)^3 - (x-h)^3\right) = hM_1(x)(6x^2 + 2h^2) \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $h(M_1(x) - M_2(x)) = 2hM_1(x) \in \mathbb{R}$, we get $h^2 \in \mathbb{R}$, as required. □

Thus, to study operators of the form (1.1) preserving the class $\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$, we must take M_1 and M_2 to be entire functions and $h \in i\mathbb{R}$ or $h \in \mathbb{R}$. As we mentioned in Section 1, the case of real h was proved to be trivial in [7], so in what follows, we consider the operator:

$$T_{M_1,M_2}(f)(z) = M_1(z)f(z+i) + M_2(z)f(z-i) \tag{2.1}$$

where the functions M_1 and M_2 are entire.

Every entire function in the Laguerre-Pólya class has only real zeros or is identically zero. Thus, our first step is to find conditions when the image $T_{M_1,M_2}(\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P})$ consists of entire functions with such a property.

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be a meromorphic function. Then for every function $f \in \mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$ the function $f(z+i)+M(z)f(z-i)$ has only real roots or is identically zero if, and only if, one of the following two conditions holds:*

(i) $|M(z)|<1$ *whenever* $\operatorname{Im} z>0$, *and* $|M(z)|>1$ *whenever* $\operatorname{Im} z<0$;

(ii) M *is a constant function with* $|M(z)|\equiv 1$.

Proof. Suppose that for every $f \in \mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$ the function $f(z+i)+M(z)f(z-i)$ has only real roots or is identically zero, and let $M \not\equiv const$. In contradiction to (i) assume that there exists a point z_0 with $\operatorname{Im} z_0>0$ such that[1] $|M(z_0)|\geqslant 1$, and denote $w_0:=M(z_0)$. Let $z_0=\alpha+i\beta$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$, $\beta>0$, and $w_0=Re^{i\theta}$, $R\geqslant 1, \theta\in\mathbb{R}$.

Consider the function $f(z)=e^{-az^2+bz}\in\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$ where $a=\frac{\log R}{4\beta}\geqslant 0$ and $b=2a\alpha+\theta/2\in\mathbb{R}$. It is easy to check that $\frac{f(z_0+i)}{f(z_0-i)}=w_0$, so the equation $f(z+i)+M(z)f(z-i)=0$ has a non-real root z_0. Since the function $f(z+i)+M(z)f(z-i)$ cannot have nonreal zeros by assumption unless it is identically zero, we conclude that

$$e^{-a(z+i)^2+b(z+i)}+M(z)e^{-a(z-i)^2+b(z-i)}\equiv 0,$$

so $M(z)=-e^{-4iaz+2bi}$.

Now to come to contradiction, it suffices to show that $a=0$. Suppose this is not true, so $a\neq 0$. Then for the function $f(z)=\left(z^2-\frac{4}{e^{4a}-1}\right)e^{bz}\in\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$ we have that the function

$$g(z):=f(z+i)+M(z)f(z-i)=\left((z+i)^2-\frac{4}{e^{4a}-1}\right)e^{b(z+i)}-e^{-4iaz+2bi}\left((z-i)^2-\frac{4}{e^{4a}-1}\right)e^{b(z-i)}$$

is not identically zero, so it must have only real zeros by assumption. At the same time it is easy to check that $g(i)=0$. The contradiction implies $a=0$, so M is a constant function with $|M(z)|\equiv 1$. Therefore, if the function $f(z+i)+M(z)f(z-i)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$ whenever $f\in\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$, then (i) or (ii) holds.

Assume now that the function $M(z)$ satisfies the conditions (i) or (ii). Fix a function $f\in\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$, and suppose, on the contrary, that for some $z_0\in\mathbb{C}$ the following holds

$$f(z_0+i)+M(z_0)f(z_0-i)=0, \tag{2.2}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{f(z_0+i)}{f(z_0-i)}=-M(z_0).$$

Since the function f can be represented in the form (1.2), we have

$$\left|\frac{f(z_0+i)}{f(z_0-i)}\right|=e^{4a\operatorname{Im} z_0}\left|\frac{z_0+i}{z_0-i}\right|^n\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\frac{x_k-z_0-i}{x_k-z_0+i}\right|.$$

It is clear that for any $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Im}\lambda>0$

$$\left|\frac{f(\lambda+i)}{f(\lambda-i)}\right|\geqslant 1, \tag{2.3}$$

where the inequality is strict if f has at least one zero.

Analogously, for $\operatorname{Im}\lambda<0$

$$\left|\frac{f(\lambda+i)}{f(\lambda-i)}\right|\leqslant 1, \tag{2.4}$$

[1]The case $\operatorname{Im} z_0<0$ and $|M(z_0)|\leqslant 1$ can be considered analogously.

where the inequality is strict if f has at least one zero.

If (i) holds, then inequalities (2.3)–(2.4) show that equality (2.2) is impossible unless $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, a contradiction.

Suppose now that (ii) holds, and $M(z) \equiv e^{ic}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If $f(z) = e^{-az^2+bz}$, $a \geqslant 0$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, then the function

$$f(z+i) + M(z)f(z-i) = e^{-a(z+i)^2+b(z+i)} \left(1 + e^{i(c+4az-2b)}\right)$$

has only real zeros or is identically zero.

If f has at least one zero, then by (2.3)–(2.4), the equality (2.2) implies $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, any zero of $f(z+i) + M(z)f(z-i)$ is real unless this function is identically zero. □

The following theorem provides necessary and sufficient conditions on the functions M_1 and M_2 for the operator T_{M_1,M_2} to preserve the class $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let M_1 and M_2 be entire functions not identically zero. Then for every function $f \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$,*

$$M_1(z)f(z+i) + M_2(z)f(z-i) \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$$

if, and only if, M_1 and M_2 satisfy the conditions:

1) $M_1(z) = \overline{M_2(\bar{z})}$;
2) $\left|\dfrac{M_2(z)}{M_1(z)}\right| < 1$ *for every z with Im $z > 0$, or* $\dfrac{M_2(z)}{M_1(z)}$ *is a constant function with* $\left|\dfrac{M_2(z)}{M_1(z)}\right| \equiv 1$;
3) *The function M_2 is of the form*

$$M_2(z) = Cz^n e^{-az^2+bz} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\alpha_k}\right) e^{\frac{z}{\alpha_k}}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $C, b \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Im} b \geqslant 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $a \geqslant 0$, $\alpha_k \neq 0$, $\operatorname{Im} \alpha_k \geqslant 0$, and $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \dfrac{1}{|\alpha_k|^2} < \infty$.

Proof. First, we suppose that for any $f \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$, the function $M_1(z)f(z+i) + M_2(z)f(z-i)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$. Then the function $f(z+i) + \dfrac{M_2(z)}{M_1(z)} f(z-i)$ has only real roots or is identically zero whenever $f \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$. By Theorem 2.2, either the function $M(z) := \dfrac{M_2(z)}{M_1(z)}$ satisfies $|M(z)| < 1$ for $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$ and $|M(z)| > 1$ for $\operatorname{Im} z < 0$, or $|M(z)| \equiv 1$, so the condition 2) holds. This implies

$$\left|\frac{M_2(x)}{M_1(x)}\right| = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.6}$$

and by reflection principle, we have

$$M_2(\alpha) = 0 \Longrightarrow M_1(\bar{\alpha}) = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Thus, all the common zeros of $M_1(z)$ and $M_2(z)$ are real, and

$$M_2(\alpha) = 0 \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Im} \alpha \geqslant 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Furthermore, since the function $f(z) \equiv 1$ is in the class $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$, we get $M_1(z) + M_2(z) \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$ by assumption. Consequently, $M_1(x) + M_2(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, so $\operatorname{Im} M_1(x) = -\operatorname{Im} M_2(x)$ whenever $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the function $f(z) = z$ is in the class $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$ too, so $M_1(z)(z+i) + M_2(z)(z-i) \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$ by assumption. This implies that $i(M_1(x) - M_2(x)) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, or, equivalently, $\operatorname{Re} M_1(x) = \operatorname{Re} M_2(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $M_1(x) = \overline{M_2(x)}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ that implies $M_1(z) = \overline{M_2(\bar{z})}$ whenever $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, the condition 1) is true.

To prove the condition 3) we note first that by (2.7) all the common zeros of the functions $M_1(z)$ and $M_2(z)$ are real. Moreover, these zeros belong to the zero set of the function $M_1(z)+M_2(z)$ which is in the class $\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$ as we mentioned above. So we have

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{Z}_M}\frac{1}{\alpha^2}<\infty, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_M:=\{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}\ :\ M_1(\alpha)=0\wedge M_2(\alpha)=0\}$.

Furthermore, since $M_1(z)+M_2(z)\in\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$, and $M_1(z)(z+i)+M_2(z)(z-i)\in\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$, the functions $M_1(z)$ and $M_2(z)$ are of growth order at most 2 and of normal type. The function $\dfrac{M_2(z)}{M_1(z)}$ is a meromorphic function bounded in the upper half-plane, therefore the non-real zeros of $M_2(z)$ must satisfy the Blaschke condition for the upper half-plane:

$$\sum_k\frac{\operatorname{Im}\alpha_k}{|\alpha_k|^2+1}<\infty \tag{2.10}$$

(see, for example, the end of ([12, Chapter VI]) or ([14, Chapter VII])).

Obviously, there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$M_2(z)=0\ \wedge\ z\neq 0\Longrightarrow z\notin\{z\ :\ |\operatorname{Im}z|\leqslant\delta\wedge|\operatorname{Re}z|\leqslant\delta\}. \tag{2.11}$$

Let us split all the non-real zeros of M_2 into two groups:

$$\mathcal{Z}_1=\{\alpha_k:\ M_2(\alpha_k)=0\wedge\operatorname{Im}\alpha_k>\delta\}\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{Z}_2=\{\alpha_k:\ M_2(\alpha_k)=0\wedge 0<\operatorname{Im}\alpha_k\leqslant\delta\},$$

so we have

$$\mathcal{Z}:=\mathcal{Z}_M\cup\mathcal{Z}_1\cup\mathcal{Z}_2,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}$ is the set of all non-zero roots of M_2. From the Blaschke condition (2.10) it follows that

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{Z}_1}\frac{1}{|\alpha|^2}<\infty. \tag{2.12}$$

Now let us note that according to Lindelöf's Theorem (see e.g. [8, Chapter 2], [11, p. 22, Problem 3]), for any entire function F of integral order $\rho\in\mathbb{N}$ and of normal (finite) type, the sums

$$|S(r)|:=\left|\sum_{\{z\,:\,f(z)=0,\,|z|\leq r,\,z\neq 0\}}\frac{1}{z^\rho}\right|$$

are bounded as $r\to\infty$. So for the function $M_2(z)$ whose order of growth does not exceed 2, normal type, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for every $R>0$

$$C\geqslant\left|\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{Z},\ |\alpha|\leq R}\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right|\geqslant\left|\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{Z}_2,\ |\alpha|\leq R}\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right|-\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{Z}_M,\ |\alpha|\leq R}\left|\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right|-\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{Z}_1,\ |\alpha|\leq R}\left|\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right|.$$

This inequality together with (2.9) and (2.12) provide the existence of a constant $K>0$ such that

$$K\geqslant\left|\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{Z}_2,\ |\alpha|\leqslant R}\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right|\geqslant\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{Z}_2,\ |\alpha|\leqslant R}\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{Z}_2,\ |\alpha|\leqslant R}\frac{(\operatorname{Re}\alpha)^2-(\operatorname{Im}\alpha)^2}{|\alpha|^4}\geqslant 0$$

for every $R>0$ (we take into account (2.11)). Thus, we have

$$K\geq\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{Z}_2,\ |\alpha|\leq R}\frac{(\operatorname{Re}\alpha)^2-(\operatorname{Im}\alpha)^2}{|\alpha|^4}\geq\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{Z}_2,\ |\alpha|\leq R}\frac{|\alpha|^2-2\delta^2}{|\alpha|^4}$$

Since the order of M_2 does not exceed 2 we get $\sum\limits_{\alpha\in\mathcal{Z}_2}\frac{1}{|\alpha|^4}<\infty$ that implies

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{Z}_2}\frac{1}{|\alpha|^2}<\infty. \tag{2.13}$$

Using (2.9), (2.12) and (2.13) we have

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{Z}}\frac{1}{|\alpha|^2}<\infty.$$

Thus, by the Hadamard Factorization Theorem (see [8, Chapter II] or[3, p.22]) the functions M_2 can be represented in the form

$$M_2(z)=Cz^n e^{az^2+bz}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{z}{\alpha_k}\right)e^{\frac{z}{\alpha_k}}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, $C,a,b\in\mathbb{C}$, $\alpha_k\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$, $\operatorname{Im}\alpha_k\geq 0$, and $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{|\alpha_k|^2}<\infty$. Since $M_1(z)=\overline{M_2(\bar z)}$, $z\in\mathbb{C}$ we have

$$M_1(z)=\overline{C}z^n e^{\bar a z^2+\bar b z}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{z}{\overline{\alpha_k}}\right)e^{\frac{z}{\overline{\alpha_k}}}. \tag{2.15}$$

Let $M(z)$ be an entire function such that

$$M_2(z)=e^{az^2}M(z),\qquad M_1(z)=e^{\bar a z^2}\ \overline{M(\bar z)}.$$

Then from the condition 2) established above, we have

$$\left|\frac{M_2(z)}{M_1(z)}\right|=e^{-4(\operatorname{Im}a)(\operatorname{Re}z)(\operatorname{Im}z)}\left|\frac{M(z)}{\overline{M(\bar z)}}\right|\leqslant 1,\quad\text{when}\quad \operatorname{Im}z>0. \tag{2.16}$$

The formulæ (2.14)–(2.15) imply that the genus of the meromorphic function $\dfrac{M(z)}{\overline{M(\bar z)}}$ is at most 1, so the the inequality (2.16) can be valid only if

$$\operatorname{Im}\ a=0. \tag{2.17}$$

Indeed, if $\operatorname{Im}a>0$ ($\operatorname{Im}a<0$), then for $\operatorname{Re}z=\operatorname{Im}z$ (resp. $\operatorname{Re}z=-\operatorname{Im}z$), $\left|\dfrac{M_2(z)}{M_1(z)}\right|>1$ whenever $|z|$ is sufficiently large. Hence,

$$M_2(z)=e^{az^2}M(z),\ M_1(z)=e^{az^2}\ \overline{M(\bar z)},\ a\in\mathbb{R},$$

where M is an entire functions whose growth doesn't exceed the order 2, minimal type. Since $1\in\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$, we have

$$M_1(z)+M_2(z)=e^{az^2}(M(z)+\overline{M(\bar z)})\in\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P},$$

where the growth of $M(z)+\overline{M(\bar z)}$ doesn't exceed the order 2 and is of minimal type. Using (1.2) we conclude that this can be possible only if $a\leqslant 0$. Thus, if the operator (2.1) preserve the class $\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$, the conditions 1)–3) hold for its coefficients $M_1(z)$ and $M_2(z)$.

Conversely, suppose that the conditions 1)–3) hold for the operator (2.1). Consider any entire function $f\in\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$. The condition 2) provides all the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 for the function $\dfrac{M_2}{M_1}$. Therefore, the function $f(z+i)+\dfrac{M_2(z)}{M_1(z)}f(z-i)$ has only real roots or is identically zero. The condition 1) implies that the combination $M_1(x)f(x+i)+M_2(x)f(x-i)$ is real for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$. Now the condition 3) gives us the necessary estimate on the growth of this combination. Thus,

$$M_1(z)f(z+i)+M_2(z)f(z-i)\in\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P},$$

as required. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Following notations used in [14], by $\mathcal{HB}$ we denote the class of all entire functions $M(z)$ with no roots in the closed lower half-plane $\operatorname{Im} z \leqslant 0$ satisfying the condition

$$\left|\frac{M(z)}{\overline{M(\bar z)}}\right| < 1, \quad \operatorname{Im} z > 0,$$

and by $\overline{\mathcal{HB}}$ we denote the class of all entire functions $M(z)$ not vanishing in the open lower half-plane $\operatorname{Im} z < 0$ satisfying the condition

$$\left|\frac{M(z)}{\overline{M(\bar z)}}\right| \leqslant 1, \quad \operatorname{Im} z > 0.$$

Obviously, the equality is possible only if $M(z) = CF(z)$, where C is a non-zero complex constant and $F(z)$ is a real function.

We need the notation: if $f(z) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty}(a_k + ib_k)z^k$, where a_k and b_k are real numbers, then

$$Rf(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \qquad \text{and} \qquad If(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k.$$

According to M. Krein's theorem (see [1] and [14, p. 411]), an entire function Φ belongs to the class $\mathcal{HB}$ if, and only if, it can be represented in the form

$$\Phi(z) = Cz^n e^{u(z)+i\beta z} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1 - \frac{z}{\alpha_k}\right) e^{RP_k\left(\frac{z}{\alpha_k}\right)}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\beta \geqslant 0$, $u(z)$ is a real entire function, and $\prod\limits_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1 - \frac{z}{\alpha_k}\right) e^{P_k\left(\frac{z}{\alpha_k}\right)}$ is the Hadamard product relating to zeros of the function $\Phi(z)$ (see, e.g. [3, Section 2.7], [14, Chapter I, Section 10], or [8, Chapter II]).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The first assertion of Theorem 1.2 coincides with the first one in Theorem 2.3. So it suffices to prove equivalence of the second assertion of Theorem 1.2 to the second and third assertions of Theorem 2.3.

Obviously, $\dfrac{|M_2(z)|}{|M_1(z)|} = \dfrac{|M_2(z)|}{|\overline{M_2(\bar z)}|} = 1$ if, and only if, $M_2(z) = C\Phi(z)$, where C is a non-zero complex constant and $\Phi(z)$ is a real entire function. In this case, the statement of Theorems 1.2 and 2.3 are equivalent.

Now assume that $\left|\dfrac{M_2(z)}{M_1(z)}\right| = \left|\dfrac{M_2(z)}{\overline{M_2(\bar z)}}\right| < 1$, $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$, that is $M_2(z) \in \overline{\mathcal{HB}}$. All common zeros of the functions $M_2(z)$ and $\overline{M_2(\bar z)}$ are real. Denote by $(x_k)_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ all real zeros of $M_2(z)$. Then

$$M_2(z) = \Psi(z) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1 - \frac{z}{x_k}\right) e^{\frac{z}{x_k}}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\Psi(z) \in \mathcal{HB}$. Conversely, if the function M_2 is of the form (3.2), then $M_2(z) \in \overline{\mathcal{HB}}$. By virtue of Theorem 1.2 the function Ψ is of the form

$$\Psi(z) = Cz^n e^{-az^2+bz} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1 - \frac{z}{\alpha_k}\right) e^{\frac{z}{\alpha_k}},$$

where $\operatorname{Im}\alpha_k > 0$, $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_k|^{-2} < \infty$, $a \geqslant 0$, $C, b \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Taking into account the Blaschke condition (2.10) we can rewrite this formula in the following way

$$\Psi(z) = Cz^n e^{-az^2+\alpha z+iz\left(\beta-\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\operatorname{Im}\alpha_k}{|\alpha_k|^2}\right)} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{z}{\alpha_k}\right)e^{z\operatorname{Re}\frac{1}{\alpha_k}},$$

where $b = \alpha + i\beta$, see (1.3).

By M. Krein's theorem mentioned above (see (3.1)), we have $\Psi(z) \in \mathcal{HB} \Longleftrightarrow$

$$\operatorname{Im} b - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\operatorname{Im}\ \alpha_k}{|\alpha_k|^2} \geqslant 0.$$

If, additionally, $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_k|^{-1} < \infty$, then the function $\Psi(z)$ is of genus 0, so it has the form (see e.g. [14, p.???])

$$\Psi(z) = Cz^n e^{-az^2+bz}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{z}{\alpha_k}\right),$$

and from (3.1) we have

$$\operatorname{Im} b \geqslant 0,$$

as required. □

4. Conclusion

We established necessary and sufficient conditions for the operator (1.1) to preserve the Laguerre-Pólya class $\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$ of entire functions. This can help to define whether a given entire function belongs to $\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$ provided we established that this function is a result of the action of the operator (1.1) to a function in the class $\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$. Proposition 1.1 follows from Theorem 1.2 applied to polynomials.

5. Acknowledgements

The second author is Shanghai Oriental Scholar whose research was supported by Russian Science Foundation, grant no. 14–11–00022.

References

[1] N. Akhiezer and M. Krein, Some questions in the theory of moments. Providence, R.I.: American Mathematical Society MR, 1962.
[2] M. Biehler, Sur une classe d'équations algébriques dont toutes les racines sont réelles, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **87**, 1879, pp. 350–352.
[3] R. Boas, Jr., *Entire Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1954.
[4] J. Borcea and P. Brändén, Pólya-Schur master theorems for circular domains and their boundaries, *Ann. of Math.* (2), **170**, no. 1, 2009, pp. 465–492.
[5] J. Borcea and P. Brändén, The Lee-Yang and Pólya-Schur programs. I. Linear operators preserving stability. *Invent. Math.*, **177**, no. 3, 2009, pp. 541-–569.
[6] J. Borcea and P. Brändén, The Lee-Yang and Pólya-Schur programs. II. Theory of stable polynomials and applications. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **62**, no. 12, 2009, pp. 1595-–1631.
[7] P. Brändén, I. Krasikov, and B. Shapiro, Elements of Pólya-Schur theory in finite difference settings, arXiv:1204.2963v2 [math.CA].
[8] A. A. Goldberg and I. V. Ostrovskii, *Value distribution of meromorphic functions* , Transl. Math. Mono. Vol. 236, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
[9] C. Hermite, Sur les nombre des racines d'une équation algébrique comprise entre des limites données, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **52**, 1856, pp. 39–51.
[10] I. Hirschman and D. Widder, *The Convolution Transform*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1955.
[11] P. Koosis, *The Logarithmic Integral I*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 12, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
[12] P. Koosis, *Introduction to H_p Spaces*, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[13] M. Krein and M. Neimark, The method of symmetric and Hermitian forms in the theory of the separation of the roots of algebraic equations, *Lin. and Multilin. Algebra*, **10**, 1981, pp. 265–308.
[14] B. Levin, *Distribution of Zeros of Entire Functions*, Transl. Math. Mono., 5, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1964; revised ed. 1980.
[15] N. Obreschkov, *Verteilung und Berechnung der Nullstellen reeller Polynome*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1963.
[16] G. Pólya, *Collected Papers, Vol. II Location of Zeros*, (R. Boas ed.) MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1974.
[17] G. Pólya, Bemerkung über die Integraldarstellung der Riemannsche j-Funktion, *Acta Math.*, **48**, 1926, pp. 305–317.
[18] G. Pólya and J. Schur, Über zwei Arten von Faktorenfolgen in der Theorie der algebraischen Gleichungen, *J. Reine Andrew. Math.*, **144**, 1914, pp. 89–113.
[19] G. Riemann, Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse, Monatsber. Königl. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 1859, pp. 671–680.
[20] P. Walker, Separation of zeros of translates of polynomials and entire functions , *J. Math. Ann. Appl.*, **206**, 1997, pp. 270–279.

Department of Science and Mathematics, Wheelock College, USA
E-mail address: olga.m.katkova@gmail.com

School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, and Faculty of Mathematics, Far East Federal University
E-mail address: tyaglov@sjtu.edu.cn

Department of Mechanics & Mathematics, Kharkov National University
E-mail address: anna.m.vishnyakova@univer.kharkov.ua